UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	HERK III FILED	
10	LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COU	77
- 1		
	JAN 3 1 2014	
11	<u> </u>	- 1
CENT	RAL DISTRICT OF CAUSE OF	NIA
-	V W V V DEP	ÜΪΫ

Sergio Ramirez, §

Petitioner §

vs. §

vs. §

United States of America §

Respondent §

Case No: CV 13-7793-GAF CL 09-229-6AF

REPLY TO GEVERNMENT'S OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO CORRECT OR SET ASIDE A CONVICTION BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2255

COMES NOW, Sergio Ramirez, hereafter, Petitioner Ramirez and responds to the Government's Motion in Oppisition.

The Government's incorrectly claims that Petitioner Ramirez did not raise a claim of collusion in his Motion For Relief. In fact Petitioner Ramirez specifically claimed that the Federal prosecution beseiged the State of Clifornia's prosecution in an exact replica or mirror image indictment. Plainly stated the Federal prosecution was built solely off of State evidence, right down to the State's lab test reports. Further, Petitioner Ramirez has stated that the Federal prosecution was a sham as the opportunity for "inter-sovereign cooperation" passed when the Federal Government declined the initial prosecution in which the State of California picked up. Additionally, Petitioner Ramirez stated that the Federal investigation ceased when the Federal officers of the joint task force turned their records

Case 2:13-cv-07793-GAF Document 4 Filed 01/31/14 Page 2 of 4 Page ID #:28 over to the State of California. Petitioner Ramirez will be forever prejudiced without both the opportunity to conduct discovery pursuant to Rule 16 and an evidentiary hearing where his former attorney may give appropriate test-

tion and the Federal prosecution. At this time, the Government has not offered any rebutal evidence related to Petitioner's claim.

imony regarding the inner workings of both the State of California prosecu-

The Court has ordered evidentiary hearings when the factual record does not support the Government's conclusory statements, as is the case at bar. The Government has failed to address Petitioner's <u>Bartkus</u> claim. An evidentiary hearing would aid in the factfinding process to aid in determing the extent of the Federal Government's involvement in successive prosecution's. SEE: <u>United States v. Bernhardt</u>, 831 F.2d at 183. The Fifth Amendment guarantee's that a defendant shall not be twice placed in jeopardy for the same crime and the Government has failed to show any interest of justice in this duplicitous prosecution.

Petitioner Ramirez has also advanced a claim of ineffectiveness of counsel related to his counsel's failure to seek a downward departure regarding "fast track". Once again, the Government has failed to offer any evidence regarding his counsel's failure to negotiate a more condusive plea agreement, espically in light of Petitioner's claims of Double Jeopardy. This court has routinely granted downward departues to people of like circumstances and counsel's failure to request such, is a deficient performance pursuant to Strickland v. Washington.

## CONCLUSION

In a nutshell, Petitioner Ramirez has suffered a Doubly Jeopardy violation of a wost egregious nature. One fact remains constant in this case at bar, the Federal Government declined to prosecute this case an allowed The State of California to do so. Then once the Federal Government decided to prosecute this case, they issued word for word, mirror image bill of information and did not allege one single new fact, in violation of Bartkus. Petitioner Ramirez has suffered actual prejudice as a result and stands on his previously asserted Fifth-Amendment violation.

Respectfully submitted,

Sergio Pawirez, Petitioner, pro se

Registration #: 56249-112

FCI-E1kton

P.O. Box 10

Lisbon, Ohio 44432

## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Sergio Rawirez,

Petitioner

Vs.

Case No: CV 13-7793-GAF

United States of America,

Respondent

S

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On the 22 day of January, 2014, I did place a true and accurate copy of this Motion in Oppisition in the United States Mail, postage prepaid for delivery to:

Clerk of Court Central District of California Los Augles, CA 90012 with copy to: U.S. Department of Justice United States Attorney 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90012

Sergio Ramirez, Petitioner, pro se

**Registration** #: 56249-112

FCI-Elkton

P.O. Box 10

Lisbon, Ohio 44432